

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Tribal Identity, Displacement and Resistance in Hansda Sowvendra Shekhar's Adivasi Will Not Dance

Dr. Chandrashekhar Ashok Dawane

Asst. Prof. & Research Supervisor, Department of English, Rajarshi Shahu Mahavidyalaya, Latur, Maharashtra, India;

cdawane52@gmail.com

Accepted version published on 5 September 2026

ABSTRACT

Hansda Sowvendra Shekhar's Adivasi Will Not Dance can be read as a powerful literary representation of the struggles, aspirations, cultural identity, and resistance of India's Adivasi communities. The text foregrounds the painful realities of marginalization, dispossession, poverty, exploitation, and the systematic denial of dignity experienced by indigenous and tribal people. The image of the Adivasi who "will not dance" becomes a significant metaphor of resistance. Dance, traditionally tied to tribal celebration, community, cultural continuity, and collective identity, becomes a political symbol. The refusal to dance signifies the refusal to entertain those who exploit, displace, and silence Adivasi communities. This article examines the text through subaltern studies, postcolonialism, cultural identity, ecological criticism, and resistance literature. It argues that Adivasi Will Not Dance challenges romanticized representations of tribal life and instead presents Adivasis as political subjects possessing agency, dignity, memory, and the power to resist oppression.

Keywords: tribal identity, resistance, displacement, exploitation, cultural identity

FULL PAPER

Introduction

Indian literature has historically represented marginalized communities from multiple perspectives, but the emergence of Adivasi writing has significantly changed the literary representation of tribal life. Adivasi literature does not merely describe poverty or social exclusion; it articulates questions of identity, land, culture, history, dignity, justice, and resistance. It challenges literary traditions in which tribal communities are represented as passive, primitive, exotic, or merely picturesque. Hansda Sowvendra Shekhar's *Adivasi Will Not Dance* can be approached as an important text of resistance because it questions the social, political, economic, and cultural structures that place Adivasi communities at the margins. The title itself is provocative. The statement "Adivasi will not dance" reverses the conventional image of the tribal person as someone who dances for the entertainment of outsiders. The refusal to dance becomes a refusal to participate in a system that takes away land, dignity, livelihood, and cultural freedom while expecting the oppressed to remain cheerful and entertaining. The text therefore demands a shift in perspective. The Adivasi is not simply an object of sympathy; the Adivasi becomes a speaking subject. The community possesses its own history, cultural practices, and relationship with nature, collective memory, and understanding of justice. Resistance literature gives voice to these experiences and questions the structures that have historically silenced them.

Adivasi Identity and the Question of Representation

One of the central concerns of *Adivasi Will Not Dance* is identity. Adivasi identity cannot be understood through geography or social classification alone. It is closely connected with land, forests, community, language, cultural practices, traditional knowledge, and collective memory. Mainstream representations have frequently constructed tribal communities through stereotypes. The Adivasi is sometimes imagined as: primitive, backward, uncivilized, excessively close to nature, culturally exotic, poor, and helpless. Such representations reduce complex human communities to simplistic images. *Adivasi Will Not Dance* challenges this tendency by emphasizing the humanity and individuality of Adivasi people. The Adivasi character is not simply someone who suffers. The character questions, remembers, refuses, and resists. This transformation from object of representation to subject of representation is crucial to understanding the political significance of the text.

The Significance of the Title

The title Adivasi Will Not Dance is itself a protest statement. Dance is normally associated with joy, celebration, community, tradition, and cultural expression. Tribal dance is often displayed in tourism, cultural programs, festivals, and official events. However, the text questions the contradiction involved in celebrating tribal culture while ignoring the suffering of tribal people. The word “will not” is especially important. It expresses conscious refusal. The title can therefore be interpreted at several levels: The Adivasi refuses to perform merely for the entertainment of privileged spectators. Cultural performance cannot be separated from the performer's social conditions. The refusal rejects exploitation by political, economic, and social powers. Dance may symbolize the expected silence of marginalized people. By refusing to dance, the Adivasi speaks through resistance. The refusal also represents human dignity. The Adivasi insist that culture should not be consumed without respect for the people who create it. Thus, “will not dance” becomes a metaphor for political consciousness.

Land, Displacement and Adivasi Existence

For Adivasi communities, land is much more than an economic commodity. It is connected with identity, ancestry, livelihood, spirituality, memory, and community. Displacement therefore produces consequences far beyond the loss of a house or agricultural field. It can destroy: traditional livelihoods, community relationships, cultural practices, ancestral memories, access to forests, traditional knowledge, and social security. The text can consequently be read as a critique of development models that measure progress through infrastructure, industries, mines, dams, roads, and commercial projects while ignoring the human cost of displacement. The fundamental question is: Development for whom? If development produces wealth for powerful institutions while displacing indigenous communities from their ancestral lands, the meaning of development becomes questionable.

Exploitation of the Adivasi Community: The text exposes multiple forms of exploitation. Economic exploitation is one of the most visible forms, but exploitation also operates politically and culturally. Adivasi communities may experience exploitation through: loss of land, economic deprivation, and political marginalization, inadequate access to education, limited employment opportunities, displacement, exploitation of natural resources, cultural appropriation, social discrimination, and institutional neglect. The Adivasi body itself can become a site of exploitation. The community may be expected to perform its culture for tourists,

officials, politicians, or urban audiences while its actual grievances remain unheard. This contradiction is central to the politics of the title.

Culture as a Site of Resistance

Culture in *Adivasi Will Not Dance* is not merely decorative. It becomes a means of resistance. Language, music, dance, storytelling, folklore, rituals, dress, relationship with nature, and collective memory all contribute to cultural identity. When dominant institutions attempt to erase or appropriate these cultural forms, cultural preservation becomes an act of resistance. The refusal to dance can therefore be understood paradoxically: the Adivasi refuse to perform culture on terms dictated by the dominant society to protect its deeper meaning. This creates an important distinction between culture as performance and culture as lived identity. The text questions the first when it is separated from the second.

The Adivasi as a Subaltern Subject

The concept of the subaltern, associated particularly with Antonio Gramsci's work and later developed within Subaltern Studies, provides a useful framework for reading the text. A subaltern group is structurally excluded from dominant systems of power and representation. Adivasi communities have historically experienced such exclusion. However, *Adivasi Will Not Dance* complicates the idea that Adivasi are completely powerless. The text presents resistance as an important dimension of subaltern existence. The Adivasi question authority, challenge exploitation, refuse humiliation, protect cultural identity, remember historical injustice, assert individuality, and demand recognition. The text therefore moves from victimhood to agency.

Voice and Silence

Voice and silence are important concepts in the study of marginalized literature. Historically, marginalized communities have frequently been spoken about rather than allowed to speak for themselves. Administrators, anthropologists, politicians, journalists, and mainstream writers interpret their experiences. The significance of *Adivasi Will Not Dance* lies in its challenge to this structure of representation. The refusal to dance becomes a form of speech. Silence itself becomes meaningful because it is no longer imposed silence. It becomes chosen silence, a refusal to participate in a dehumanizing performance. Thus, the text raises a crucial question: Who has the authority to speak for the marginalized?

Nature and Ecological Consciousness

Adivasi existence is closely associated with forests, rivers, mountains, animals, and agricultural land. Consequently, environmental destruction directly affects tribal communities. The text can be approached through the lens of ecocriticism, particularly because environmental destruction and human displacement are interconnected. When forests are destroyed, or natural resources are commercially exploited, the consequences include loss of livelihood, ecological imbalance, displacement, destruction of traditional knowledge, and cultural disruption. The Adivasi relationship with nature is therefore not simply romantic. It is also economic, social, cultural, and spiritual. The text challenges the assumption that nature exists merely as a resource for human exploitation.

Development and the Politics of Displacement

Modern development often speaks in the language of progress. Industrialization, mining, urbanization, infrastructure, and technological development are often seen as signs of national advancement. However, the question of whose land is used and who bears the cost complicates this narrative. For marginalized communities, development may mean “progress” for one group and “displacement” for another. Adivasi Will Not Dance can therefore be interpreted as a critique of development without justice. The text asks readers to consider whether economic development is meaningful when it destroys the cultural and ecological foundations of vulnerable communities.

Gender and Adivasi Experience

Adivasi women's experiences require particular attention. Tribal women often encounter multiple layers of marginalization arising from gender, economic vulnerability, social inequality, and displacement. Adivasi women's relationship with land, forest, family, labor, and community makes them particularly vulnerable to the consequences of displacement. At the same time, women should not be represented only as victims. They are also important participants in cultural preservation and resistance. A gender-sensitive reading of the text therefore encourages us to consider women's labor, cultural role, vulnerability, agency, participation in resistance, and contribution to community survival.

Poverty and Human Dignity

Poverty is another important dimension of the Adivasi experience. However, the text should not be reduced to a simple representation of poverty. The deeper issue is dignity. A person may experience economic poverty but still possess cultural

richness, self-respect, knowledge, community values, and a strong sense of identity. The text therefore challenges the assumption that material wealth is the only measure of civilization or human progress. The Adivasi's refusal to dance can consequently be interpreted as a demand: "Recognize me as a human being before you consume my culture." This becomes one of the strongest ethical implications of the text.

Resistance as a Literary Strategy

Resistance in the text operates through refusal rather than through conventional heroic action alone. The word "No" is politically powerful. The Adivasi's refusal represents: refusal of humiliation, refusal of cultural commodification, refusal of displacement, refusal of silence, refusal of false development, refusal of exploitation. The text consequently transforms an apparently simple cultural act into a political statement. The Adivasi who does not dance becomes a symbol of a community that refuses to be reduced to a spectacle.

Postcolonial Reading of the Text

Although colonial rule formally ended in India in 1947, many colonial structures of knowledge and power continued in modified forms. Tribal communities continued to face administrative control, land dispossession, economic exploitation, and cultural marginalization. A postcolonial reading of Adivasi Will Not Dance therefore reveals the persistence of unequal power relationships. The text questions the binary between civilized/primitive, developed /backward, modern/traditional, and urban/tribal. These binaries privilege dominant society and marginalize indigenous ways of life. The text challenges such binaries by presenting Adivasi culture as a meaningful system of knowledge and identity.

Adivasi Literature as Counter-Narrative

Adivasi Will Not Dance can be understood as a counter-narrative to dominant representations of tribal communities. A counter-narrative challenges the official or dominant story and introduces experiences that have been ignored. The dominant narrative may say: Development brings progress. The Adivasi counter-narrative asks: What happens to those whose land is taken for that development? The dominant narrative may celebrate: Tribal culture and dance. The Adivasi counter-narrative asks: Why celebrate our dance while ignoring our suffering? The dominant narrative may portray Adivasis as backward. The counter-narrative responds: Our knowledge, culture, community values, and relationship with nature constitute alternative forms of knowledge. This counter-narrative function gives the text its political significance.

From Victimhood to Agency

One of the text's most important features is its movement from victimhood to agency. A purely sympathetic representation would portray the Adivasi as helpless. A resistance-oriented representation, however, shows that marginalized communities can make choices. The phrase "will not" is therefore more significant than the noun "Adivasi." "Adivasi" identifies the marginalized subject. "Will not" gives that subject agency. "Dance" identifies the expected performance. The entire title consequently becomes a compressed representation of power and resistance: Adivasi + will not + dance = identity + agency + refusal.

Literary Symbolism of Dance

Dance operates as the central symbolic structure. It may represent: Celebration. Traditional dance is associated with collective joy and festivals. Cultural Identity: Dance preserves cultural memory and community identity. The refusal to dance becomes a political act. The symbolic transformation of dance from celebration to resistance is therefore one of the text's most significant interpretive possibilities. Relevance in Contemporary India: The concerns raised by Adivasi Will Not Dance remain relevant in contemporary India. Questions surrounding Adivasi tribal land rights, forest rights, mining, industrialization, displacement, environmental protection, cultural preservation, education, and political representation continue to shape discussions about Adivasi communities. The text therefore goes beyond its immediate literary context. It invites readers to reconsider the relationship between the state, development, indigenous communities, and natural resources.

Educational Significance

The text has considerable pedagogical value for students of Indian literature, postcolonial studies, cultural studies, and social justice. It can encourage students to explore: marginalization and representation, indigenous identity, subaltern voices, cultural resistance, environmental justice, displacement, gender and marginality, postcolonial power structures, human dignity, literature as social criticism. Teaching such literature also helps students understand that literature is not isolated from society. Literary texts can become important spaces to question injustice and recover marginalized voices.

Conclusion

Adivasi Will Not Dance can be read as a significant literary expression of Adivasi consciousness and resistance. Its central metaphor—the refusal to dance—

challenges the romanticization and commodification of tribal culture. The Adivasi does not exist to entertain the dominant society. The Adivasi have history, memory, culture, dignity, agency, and the right to determine the community's future. The text exposes the contradictions between cultural celebration and social exploitation. It questions development that produces displacement and challenges representations that reduce Adivasi communities to poverty or exoticism.

Most importantly, the work transforms refusal into resistance. The words “will not” communicate determination and agency. They represent the moment when the marginalized subject refuses to remain a passive object of history. The Adivasi's refusal to dance is therefore not a rejection of culture. It is a demand for a respected culture, a protected land, a recognized community, and a human identity treated with dignity. Ultimately, the text communicates a powerful message: the real celebration of Adivasi culture cannot take place without justice for Adivasi people. The refusal to dance becomes an assertion that dignity must come before performance, identity before commodification, and justice before celebration.

References

- Baviskar, Amita. *In the Belly of the River: Tribal Conflicts over Development in the Narmada Valley*. Oxford University Press, 1995.
- Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.
- Dube, S. C. *Tribes in Transition*. The University of Chicago Press, 1977.
- Said, Edward W. *Culture and Imperialism*. Vintage Books, 1994.
- Shah, Ghanshyam, ed. *Social Movements in India: A Review of Literature*. Sage Publications, 2004.
- Shekhar, Hansda Sowvendra. *The Adivasi Will Not Dance: Stories*. Speaking Tiger Books, 2015.
- Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. “Can the Subaltern Speak?” In *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, University of Illinois Press, 1988, pp. 271–313.
- Xaxa, Virginius. *State, Society, and Tribes: Issues in Post-Colonial India*. Pearson Longman, 2008.